

18 November 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

SUBJECT: Clark Committee Survey

1. Meeting of the CIA Committee on the Clark Task Force in the IG's office. Mr. Kirkpatrick said that the Assistant Director for Personnel is preparing a detailed study on desirable legislation for CIA and that the DD/A is preparing a study on the need for a CIA building. When these two studies are completed they will be covered by a memorandum, prepared by Mr. Kirkpatrick, from the DCI to General Clark.

25X1A

2. The DD/A asked me to discuss with the Committee their desired approach to a survey of the DD/A area on a joint basis, pointing out that Colonel [REDACTED] is now scheduled to brief Colonel Lane on the 30th of December. Colonel White pointed out that the major part of the DD/A's budget is in support of the covert side but that he has no objection to Colonel Miller's team surveying DD/A in conjunction with Colonel Lane.

3. Mr. Kirkpatrick asked whether anyone had heard the rumor that consideration was being given by the Clark Committee to employing Peter Fischer who, we all know, has had it in for CIA ever since its beginning. I pointed out that, though I had heard nothing, it was quite possible that they might be thinking of hiring Fischer to be one of the Task Force to survey G-2. Mr. Kirkpatrick pointed out that even so this would be undesirable.

25X1A

4. [REDACTED] telephoned to say that Mrs. Davis, General Clark's secretary, had called to find the address of Mr. Wm. H. Jackson. This could be a ray of light as so far the Committee has shown no signs of any background knowledge of intelligence.

25X1A

5. Mr. Kirkpatrick asked Mr. [REDACTED] to review the various reports sent to him on the Clark Survey, establish certain categories, and after screening, prepare a documented memorandum. He suggested that this also include any verbatim statements by the Committee.

6. Mr. Kirkpatrick stated that the DCI now seemed more amenable to a CIA Congressional Watchdog Committee with possibly three or four from each side of Congress. He stated that he felt that it would be desirable if the Committee members could be appointed by the Vice President and the Speaker of the House and asked me to come up with some ideas on the subject. I talked to Mr. Pforzheimer about this and the first thing he

010175

asked me was whether I had read his memorandum on why there should be no Congressional Watchdog Committee. I told him that I had not and, as far as I was concerned, his memorandum was beside the point and asked him to think it over and give me any suggestions as to how we could best phrase a recommendation by the Clark Committee which would provide us with a Congressional Committee with or without legislation. Mr. Pforzheimer says that he believes that members of the Appropriations Committee would not want to serve on a CIA Watchdog Committee because they would be faced with approving appropriations for an Agency over which they had peculiar knowledge and possibly could not go into detail. He felt that the best selection would be from the Armed Services Committee. This, of course, would have an element of seniority in it as members of the Appropriations Committee are on it by virtue of seniority. Mr. Pforzheimer feels that there is no way that the Vice President and the Speaker of the House can ever side-step seniority in appointing either chairmen or members and that, even if new legislation were introduced authorizing them to do so, they would still be subject to political patronage. Mr. Pforzheimer's statement to me that the present arrangement, if given more power or standing, would be adequate is not, to me, the solution because, although Saltonstall, if properly briefed, could intercede for us, we cannot always count on having a friend at court as matters now stand. I asked Mr. Pforzheimer to give this matter some thought with a view to a further discussion in the near future. (This paragraph extracted and sent to Mr. Pforzheimer.)

7. Colonel Miller's latest line of attack seems to be security clearance control of the charwomen and guards in CIA Buildings.

25X1A 8. Talked to Colonel Miller and Mr. McGruder and they said that
25X1A they would be through with OCD at one o'clock on Friday and they would
like to go to [REDACTED] and make an inspection. [REDACTED] will
accompany them to [REDACTED] at one o'clock on Friday. 25X1A

25X1A 9. Colonel Dubbelde called and spoke to Mr. [REDACTED] asked him
25X1A for the names of the members of OCB, and Mr. [REDACTED] gave him the
desired information.

GEORGE G. CAREY
Assistant Director for Operations

cc: Inspector General

~~SECRET~~

~~EX-100 ONLY~~

18 November 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

SUBJECT: Clark Committee Survey

1. Meeting of the CIA Committee on the Clark Task Force in the IG's office. Mr. Kirkpatrick said that the Assistant Director for Personnel is preparing a detailed study on desirable legislation for CIA and that the DD/A is preparing a study on the need for a CIA building. When these two studies are completed they will be covered by a memorandum, prepared by Mr. Kirkpatrick, from the DCI to General Clark.

25X1A

2. The DD/A asked me to discuss with the Committee their desired approach to a survey of the DD/A area on a joint basis, pointing out that Colonel [REDACTED] is now scheduled to brief Colonel Lane on the 30th of December. Colonel White pointed out that the major part of the DD/A's budget is in support of the covert side but that he has no objection to Colonel Miller's team surveying DD/A in conjunction with Colonel Lane.

3. Mr. Kirkpatrick asked whether anyone had heard the rumor that consideration was being given by the Clark Committee to employing Peter Fischer who, we all know, has had it in for CIA ever since its beginning. I pointed out that, though I had heard nothing, it was quite possible that they might be thinking of hiring Fischer to be one of the Task Force to survey G-2. Mr. Kirkpatrick pointed out that even so this would be undesirable.

4. [REDACTED] telephoned to say that Mrs. Davis, General Clark's secretary, had called to find the address of Mr. Wm. H. Jackson. This could be a ray of light as so far the Committee has shown no signs of any background knowledge of intelligence.

5. Mr. Kirkpatrick asked [REDACTED] to review the various reports sent to him on the Clark Survey, establish certain categories, and after screening, prepare a documented memorandum. He suggested that this also include any verbatim statements by the Committee.

6. Mr. Kirkpatrick stated that the DCI now seemed more amenable to a CIA Congressional Watchdog Committee with possibly three or four from each side of Congress. He stated that he felt that it would be desirable if the Committee members could be appointed by the Vice President and the Speaker of the House and asked me to come up with some ideas on the subject. I talked to Mr. Pforzheimer about this and the first thing he

This document has been
approved for release through
a HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of
a Central Intelligence Agency.

Date 5/5/92

MRP

89-2 Approved For Release 2001/09/04 : CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260054-3

~~SECRET~~

~~EX-100 ONLY~~

010175

asked me was whether I had read his memorandum on why there should be no Congressional Watchdog Committee. I told him that I had not and, as far as I was concerned, his memorandum was beside the point and asked him to think it over and give me any suggestions as to how we could best phrase a recommendation by the Clark Committee which would provide us with a Congressional Committee with or without legislation. Mr. Pforzheimer says that he believes that members of the Appropriations Committee would not want to serve on a CIA Watchdog Committee because they would be faced with approving appropriations for an Agency over which they had peculiar knowledge and possibly could not go into detail. He felt that the best selection would be from the Armed Services Committee. This, of course, would have an element of seniority in it as members of the Appropriations Committee are on it by virtue of seniority. Mr. Pforzheimer feels that there is no way that the Vice President and the Speaker of the House can ever side-step seniority in appointing either chairmen or members and that, even if new legislation were introduced authorizing them to do so, they would still be subject to political patronage. Mr. Pforzheimer's statement to me that the present arrangement, if given more power or standing, would be adequate is not, to me, the solution because, although Saltonstall, if properly briefed, could intercede for us, we cannot always count on having a friend at court as matters now stand. I asked Mr. Pforzheimer to give this matter some thought with a view to a further discussion in the near future. (This paragraph extracted and sent to Mr. Pforzheimer.)

7. Colonel Miller's latest line of attack seems to be security clearance control of the charwomen and guards in CIA Buildings.

25X1A

8. Talked to Colonel Miller and Mr. McGruder and they said that they would be through with OCD at one o'clock on Friday and they would like to go to [REDACTED] and make an inspection. [REDACTED] will accompany them to [REDACTED] at one o'clock on Friday.

25X1A

25X1A

9. Colonel Dubbelde called and spoke to Mr. [REDACTED] asked him for the names of the members of OCB, and Mr. [REDACTED] gave him the desired information.

25X1A

25X1A

GEORGE G. CAREY
Assistant Director for Operations

cc: Inspector General

This document has been
approved for release through
the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of
the Central Intelligence Agency.

Date

5/5/92

HRP

89-2